

New Jersey

Mathematics

Curriculum Framework

Joseph G. Rosenstein, Janet H. Caldwell, Warren D. Crown

(with contributions from many other New Jersey educators)

December 1996

A Collaborative Effort of the
New Jersey Mathematics Coalition
and the
New Jersey Department of Education

PREFACE

The *New Jersey Mathematics Curriculum Framework* is based on the *Mathematics Standards* adopted by the New Jersey State Board of Education on May 1, 1996. The *Mathematics Standards* are part of the *Core Curriculum Content Standards*, developed by the New Jersey State Department of Education, including standards in seven content areas and cross-content workplace readiness standards. Taken together, the *Core Curriculum Content Standards* describe what every New Jersey student needs to understand and be able to do at the completion of the 4th, 8th, and 12th grade.

PURPOSE: New Jersey’s *Mathematics Standards* describe a vision of mathematics teaching and learning which involves high expectations for *all* students, and insists that *all* students can achieve these expectations.

The *New Jersey Mathematics Curriculum Framework* provides information and guidance to teachers and districts on how to help make that vision a reality.

Achieving this vision is an ambitious, long-term undertaking; there is no simple path to the goal. Achieving this vision will take time, effort, and a commitment to change. The recommendations of the *Mathematics Standards* cannot be implemented overnight, and results will not appear overnight. Changes will be needed in all areas — in curriculum, instruction, assessment — and will involve rethinking school practices and extensive professional development. The changes will require the commitment of teachers, administrators, school boards, parents, and policy makers, and the effort of the entire New Jersey community.

The *New Jersey Mathematics Curriculum Framework* is intended to be a resource, providing practical guidance to implement the *Mathematics Standards*. It includes information and resources for teachers at all grade levels and for school and district administrative personnel. Each chapter contains much information, and can serve as a basis for extended discussions involving teachers and administrators.

The *New Jersey Mathematics Curriculum Framework* is not intended to be read straight through. It is intended to be user-friendly; but to achieve that purpose, the user also has to be friendly, warming up to its contents a little at a time, and not shying away from it because of its bulk.

New Jersey’s *Mathematics Standards* and the *New Jersey Mathematics Curriculum Framework* are directed toward one crucial goal:

GOAL: To enable all of New Jersey’s children to move into the twenty-first century with the mathematical skills, understandings, and attitudes that they will need to be successful in their careers and daily lives.

The *Mathematics Standards* are based on the twin premises that all students can learn mathematics and that all students need to learn mathematics. They set high achievable expectations for all students, and call for teachers and parents to help all students strive toward and achieve those standards.

New Jersey’s *Mathematics Standards* and the *New Jersey Mathematics Curriculum Framework* call for

major changes, both in terms of what mathematics will be taught, and in how it will be taught. The recommendations provided here are very specific. Yet, it is not intended that they be implemented dogmatically; different situations call for different responses and different strategies. In education, as in other areas, there is a tendency to swing from one extreme to another. We hope that educators will utilize their common sense, judgment, and experience in finding appropriate ways of using the recommendations in this *Framework* to inform their decision-making. We expect that this *Framework* will be a major resource to teachers seeking to implement the *Mathematics Standards* in the classroom; we also expect it to be valuable to districts which are seeking to introduce mathematics curricula based on the *Mathematics Standards* and to provide professional development to their teachers based on the *Mathematics Standards*.

The publication of this document is the culmination of the New Jersey Mathematics Curriculum Framework Project, a collaborative effort of the New Jersey Mathematics Coalition and the New Jersey Department of Education, which was funded by an Eisenhower grant from the United States Department of Education. This effort is also a component of New Jersey's Statewide Systemic Initiative to Improve Mathematics, Science, and Technology Education. The *Framework* and the *Mathematics Standards* build on the Standards published by the National Council of Teachers of Mathematics in 1989 and 1991.

A *Preliminary Version* of the *New Jersey Mathematics Curriculum Framework* was published in January 1995. That *Preliminary Version* reflected the efforts of hundreds of New Jersey mathematics educators who worked together during 1993 and 1994 to develop materials that would be appropriate for a world-class mathematics curriculum framework. During the last two years, the *Preliminary Version* has been reviewed and used by many teachers, schools, and districts throughout the state. This new version of the *New Jersey Mathematics Curriculum Framework* reflects their comments and suggestions, and follows the organization of the *Mathematics Standards* adopted by the New Jersey State Board of Education.

Though published, the *New Jersey Mathematics Curriculum Framework* is not completed. We anticipate that it will continue as a living document on the Web site of the New Jersey Mathematics Coalition, where it is available at http://dimacs.rutgers.edu/nj_math_coalition/framework.html/. We hope to post additional resources relating to the *Mathematics Standards*, such as grade-specific activities submitted by New Jersey teachers, and to provide a forum to discuss the *Mathematics Standards*.

The efforts of all those who have contributed to the development of the *New Jersey Mathematics Curriculum Framework* are acknowledged below. This has been truly a state-wide effort of which we can all be proud. Let us all continue to work together to make the vision of New Jersey's *Mathematics Standards* a reality in the coming years!

For further information, please call the New Jersey Mathematics Coalition at 908/445-2894 or contact the New Jersey State Department of Education, Office of Standards and Assessment, CN 500, Trenton, NJ 08625-0500. We welcome your comments on the *Framework* and your suggestions about its future; please send them to joer@dimacs.rutgers.edu or the New Jersey Mathematics Coalition, P.O. Box 10867, New Brunswick, NJ 08906.

Joseph G. Rosenstein
Editor, New Jersey Mathematics Curriculum Framework
Co-Director, New Jersey Mathematics Curriculum Framework Project
Professor of Mathematics, Rutgers University
Director, New Jersey Mathematics Coalition
December 9, 1996

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The development of the *Preliminary Version* of the *New Jersey Mathematics Curriculum Framework* was a broad-based effort. As evidence, I submit the following section (pages v-xi) which appeared in the *Preliminary Version* acknowledging all those who had roles in the development of that version of the *Framework*. They should be pleased to see that all their efforts have now come to fruition. Many thanks to all of those who played a role in developing the *Framework*!

By contrast, this version was the result of an intense effort by a small number of people. Warren D. Crown, Professor of Mathematics Education at Rutgers, Janet H. Caldwell, Professor of Mathematics at Rowan College of New Jersey, and Joseph G. Rosenstein, Professor of Mathematics at Rutgers University wrote this entire document, based on the materials in the *Preliminary Version* and responding to the comments and suggestions offered by all those who used and reviewed that document.

Assisting in the writing process was Karin Rupp who collected and organized all of the comments and suggestions, and developed additional information that grew out of those comments and suggestions. Also assisting in the writing process were those who carefully read various chapters of the *Preliminary Version*, and recommended many changes. This includes Robert Davis, Frank Gardella, Evan Maletsky, and Maureen Quirk. Especially important was the contribution of those who reviewed the chapter dealing with Discrete Mathematics, a topic which has never before been subjected to a K-12 grade-level analysis; this includes Valerie DeBellis, Emily Dann, Bobbie Goldman, Janice Kowalczyk, Evan Maletsky, Claire Passantino, and Michael Saks, as well as the many teachers in the Leadership Program in Discrete Mathematics who shared their classroom experiences with these topics.

Before this version of the *New Jersey Mathematics Curriculum Framework* could be written, the *Mathematics Standards* had to be adopted by the New Jersey State Board of Education. So acknowledgements are appropriate here to those who served on the Governor's Review Panel for the Mathematics Curriculum Standards — Janet Amenhauser, Joyce Baynes, Janet Caldwell, Warren Crown, Barbara Graham, Patricia Klag, Paul Lawrence, Evan Maletsky, Paula Norwood (Panel co-Chair), Jean Paige, Robert Riehs (Department of Education), Joseph G. Rosenstein, William Smith (Panel co-Chair), Dorothy Varygiannes (Department of Education), and Allen Wesley.

The editing of the *New Jersey Mathematics Curriculum Framework* was the work of Joseph G. Rosenstein, with the dedicated assistance of Karin Rupp. Both have read each word of this document ... over and over. Meeting the deadline imposed by the Eisenhower grant period — this document had to be printed by December 31, 1996 — involved, simply put, many long days and nights.

The document was prepared by the staff at the Center for Mathematics, Science, and Technology Education at Rutgers University, including the staff of the New Jersey Mathematics Coalition. Most of the document was put into its final form by Stephanie Micale and Debby Toti who have cheerfully put up with the *Framework*, and its Editor, full-time for the last three months. Chris Magarelli did all the computer graphics, and others provided important assistance when it was needed — Janet DeBellis, Valerie DeBellis, Lisa Estler, Bonnie Katz, Stephanie Lichtman, and Peter Sobel. There were times when six people were working on the document simultaneously! Thank you for all your help.

Thanks also to Dolores Keezer of the Department of Education, who has served as co-Chair of this project and has helped ensure the dissemination of this document, and to Robert Riehs of the Department of

Education who has helped ensure that the contents of this document reflected the initiatives of the Department. Thanks also to Stephen Bouikidis for providing expert advice on the format of this document and to him and Keith Kershner for ensuring that its cover would do justice to its contents. In addition to their assistance, the Mid-Atlantic Eisenhower Consortium for Mathematics and Science Education at Research for Better Schools also provided a graphic artist for the cover and contributed to the distribution of the *Framework*. Thanks also to Rutgers University and the Center for Mathematics, Science, and Computer Education for serving as a home for this project, and to its Director, Gerald A. Goldin, for his consistent support.

Finally, thanks to my family for their patience during the last few months while I was intensely wrapped up in the writing and editing of the *New Jersey Mathematics Curriculum Framework*.

Joseph G. Rosenstein
Editor, New Jersey Mathematics Curriculum Framework
Co-Director, New Jersey Mathematics Curriculum Framework Project
December 9, 1996

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

(from *Preliminary Version* — 1995)

The development of the *Preliminary Version* of the *New Jersey Mathematics Curriculum Framework* was made possible by a grant from the United States Department of Education to the New Jersey Department of Education and the New Jersey Mathematics Coalition. Joseph G. Rosenstein has served as co-Director of the New Jersey Mathematics Curriculum Framework Project for the New Jersey Mathematics Coalition; serving as co-Directors for the New Jersey Department of Education have been Charles Mitchel, Karen Sanderson, and Dolores Keezer.

In addition to a collaboration between the New Jersey Mathematics Coalition and the New Jersey Department of Education, this document represents a collaboration involving many of the most knowledgeable mathematics educators in New Jersey and many other members of the community. Some served on the New Jersey Curriculum Standards Panel that developed the draft version of the *New Jersey Mathematics Standards*. Others were active members of the Curriculum Framework Project Advisory Committee of the New Jersey Mathematics Coalition. Still others served as members of Task Forces which developed recommendations and drafted materials for the framework. Lists of members of these groups are provided on the following pages¹; please bring corrections or omissions to our attention so that modifications can be made in subsequent versions.

We also acknowledge the 294 individuals who submitted comments on the draft version of the *New Jersey Mathematics Standards* and the 29 District Leadership Teams (DLTs) who reviewed an earlier version of the framework as part of their participation in the pilot implementation program of the New Jersey Mathematics Curriculum Framework Project. Serving as Project Coordinator of the pilot implementation program have been Irwin Ozer and Karin Rupp.

Special mention must be made of the following individuals who have served the Leadership Team in various capacities, attending long and arduous planning meetings, chairing Task Forces, and writing, reviewing, and editing endless drafts of sections of this document.

Janet Caldwell, Professor of Mathematics, Rowan College of New Jersey
Warren Crown, Associate Professor of Mathematics Education, Rutgers University
Karl-Heinz Haas, Mathematics and Science Supervisor, Saddle Brook Public Schools
Dolores Keezer, Director, Academy - Central, New Jersey Department of Education
Phyllis Klosowski, Project Coordinator, New Jersey Mathematics Coalition; Rutgers
Paul Lawrence, Mathematics Supervisor, Livingston Public Schools
Rebecca Lubetkin, Director, Consortium for Educational Equity, Rutgers University
David Marain, Mathematics Staff Developer, Tenaflly Public Schools
Elizabeth Marquez, Teacher, North Brunswick Township Schools
Bruce Normandia, Superintendent, Brick Township Public Schools
Anthony Piccolino, Associate Professor of Mathematics, Montclair State University

¹ Members of the New Jersey Mathematics Curriculum Standards Panel are listed on page vii, of the Curriculum Framework Project Advisory Committee on page v, and of the various Task Forces on pages viii-ix. A list of the 60 districts comprising the District Leadership Teams (DLTs) and the DLT Coordinators appears on pages x-xi.

Joseph G. Rosenstein, Professor of Mathematics, Rutgers; Director, NJ Mathematics Coalition
Maureen Quirk, Center for Communications Research
Karen Sanderson, Assistant Principal, Hamilton (Atlantic County) Public Schools
Roberta Schorr, Mathematics Specialist, New Jersey Statewide Systemic Initiative (NJ SSI)
Sharon Sherman, Senior Project Leader, Science Educ. Program, Princeton Plasma Physics Labs
William Smith, Mathematics Supervisor, Haddonfield Public Schools
Dorothy Varygiannes, New Jersey Department of Education
Linnea Weiland, New Jersey Department of Education
Larry Wiley, Mathematics Consultant, (formerly) New Jersey Department of Education

Special thanks to Phyllis Klosowski who has served as Project Coordinator of this project since its inception, and has been a source of information and assistance to the Leadership Team and to Mary Ann Reilly, who developed the initial draft of the framework upon which this *Preliminary Version* is based. Thanks also to the Mid-Atlantic Eisenhower Consortium for Mathematics and Science Education located at Research for Better Schools for providing refreshments for those reviewing framework drafts.

That this document now exists is a tribute to a team of five people each of whom has spent hundreds of hours converting an idea into a reality:

Karen Sanderson, currently Assistant Principal, Hamilton (Atlantic County) Public Schools, who served for nearly two years as Project co-Director for the New Jersey Department of Education;

William Smith, Mathematics Supervisor, Haddonfield Public Schools, who served as Facilitator of the *New Jersey Mathematics Standards* Panel;

Janet Caldwell, Professor of Mathematics, Rowan College of New Jersey, and
Warren Crown, Associate Professor of Mathematics Education, Rutgers University, and
Associate Director, New Jersey Mathematics Coalition

who were principal authors of the *Preliminary Version* of the *New Jersey Mathematics Curriculum Framework* with Joseph G. Rosenstein, writing, rewriting, and weaving together the contributions of many others; and

Joseph G. Rosenstein, Professor of Mathematics, Rutgers University, and
Director, New Jersey Mathematics Coalition

who served as Project co-Director, and headed the team and managed the effort to create this document.

Congratulations and thanks to all who have participated in developing the *Preliminary Version* of the *New Jersey Mathematics Curriculum Framework*.

New Jersey Mathematics Standards Panel

Ray Allen	Atlantic City, Teacher
Janet Y. Amenhauser	East Windsor, Teacher
Joyce F. Baynes	Teaneck, Supervisor of Mathematics
Irene Benn	Toms River, Special Education
Janet Caldwell	Rowan College, Associate Professor
James T. Carr	Elizabeth, Supervisor of Mathematics
Warren D. Crown	Rutgers Graduate School of Education, Associate Professor
Nathaniel Dean	Bell Communications Research, Applied Mathematics Research
Carol deRuyter	Galloway Township, Teacher
Donald Dearborn	Ocean City, Superintendent
Ann Faubl	Sterling Regional, Teacher
Marjorie D. Ford	Hoffmann-LaRoche, Manager of Community Affairs
Toni Fultz-Fauntleroy	Galloway Township, Teacher
Karlheinz Haas	Scotch Plains, Supervisor of Mathematics
Jamie Horowitz	Millburn Township, Mathematics Supervisor
Phyllis Klosowski	Rutgers University; NJ Mathematics Coalition, Framework Facilitator
Paul Lawrence	Parsippany-Troy Hills, Supervisor of Mathematics
David Marain	Tenafly, Math Staff Developer
Elizabeth Marquez	North Brunswick Township, Teacher
Bruce Normandia	Brick Township, Assistant Superintendent
Irwin Ozer	Matawan-Aberdeen, Board Member; "Math Sense" Consultant
Marian Palumbo	Bridgewater-Raritan, Supervisor of Instruction
Henry Petersen	Mathematics Consultant
Anthony V. Piccolino	Montclair State College, Assistant Professor of Mathematics
Joseph G. Rosenstein	Rutgers University; NJ Mathematics Coalition, Director
Karen Sanderson	New Jersey Department of Education, Mathematics Specialist
Edward Santos	Camden, Teacher
William Smith, Facilitator	Haddonfield, Supervisor of Mathematics
Norman Tenzer	Management Consultant
William M. Walters	Morris Hills Regional, Director of Instructional Services
Laurence Wiley	New Jersey Department of Education, Mathematics Supervisor

(affiliations as of completion of the work of the Panel in June 1993)

Curriculum Framework Project Advisory Committee

New Jersey Mathematics Coalition

Alice Alston	Rutgers Center for Mathematics, Science, and Computer Education
Sr. Mary Helen Beirne	Associate Superintendent, Elementary Education, Diocese of Trenton
Darnell Davis	Teacher, University High School, Newark Public Schools
Janie Edmonds	Science Supervisor, Millburn Township Schools
Martin S. Friedman	New Jersey Department of Higher Education
Frank Gardella	Mathematics Supervisor, East Brunswick Public Schools
David Glatzer	Mathematics Supervisor, West Orange High School
Mrudulla Gnanadesikan	Department of Information and Systems, Fairleigh Dickinson University
Ram Gnanadesikan	Department of Statistics, Rutgers University
Gerald A. Goldin	Rutgers Center for Mathematics, Science, and Computer Education
Marilyn Hughes	Adjunct Professor, Montclair State College
Phyllis Klosowski	Rutgers Center for Math, Science, and Computer Education
Irene Lees	Teacher, Parkway School, Paramus
William R. Lewis	Sigma Management Associates; Councilman, City of Orange
Rebecca Lubetkin	Director, Consortium for Educational Equity, Rutgers University
Carolyn Maher	Graduate School of Education, Rutgers University
Nadia Makar	Teacher, Union City Schools
Howard Myers	Teacher, Essex County Vocational Schools
Carolyn Rosenberg	Mathematics Supervisor, Somerville Public Schools
Karin Rupp	Mathematics Education Consultant
Karen Sanderson	New Jersey Department of Education
Sandra Schwartz	Member, Highland Park Board of Education
Susan Simon	Mathematics Teacher, Morristown Beard School
Sybil J. Smith	Director, Basic Skills Testing Office, Montclair State College
Donna Szemcsak	Mathematics Teacher, Lower Cape May Regional School District
Martin Tarlow	Mathematics/Science Supervisor, Essex County Vocational High Schools
Manya Ungar	New Jersey Basic Skills Council
Joan Vas	Director of Mathematics, Matawan-Aberdeen Regional District
Linnea Weiland	New Jersey Department of Education
Shona Young	Teacher, Jewish Education Center, Union County

Task Force Members

Alice Alston	Rutgers Center for Mathematics, Science, and Computer Education
Mary Berko	Mathematics Education Consultant
William Blaskopf	Mathematics Department Chair, Newark Academy
Judy Brendel	Technology Coordinator, Cresskill High School
Janet Caldwell	Department of Mathematics, Rowan College

(continued)

Task Force Members (continued)

Frank Chiaravalli	Mathematics Department Chair, Bridgewater-Raritan Schools
Deborah Cook	New Jersey Department of Education
Neil Cooperman	Teacher, Columbia High School
Stephanie H. Cooperman	Teacher, Chatham Middle School
Angelina Saracino-Corbett	Stevens Institute of Technology
Kaye Crown	Elementary Math Specialist, South Brunswick Schools
Warren Crown	Graduate School of Education, Rutgers University
Elaine Daniele	Subject Area Supervisor, Evesham Township Schools
Emily Dann	Rutgers Center for Mathematics, Science, and Computer Education
Angelo DeMattia	Teacher, Columbia High School
Eileen Edelman	Teacher, Tenaflly High School
Janie Edmonds	Science Supervisor, Millburn Township Schools
Mary Elizabeth Froustet	Department of Mathematics, Kean College
Karlheinz Haas	Mathematics and Science Supervisor, Saddle Brook High School
Patricia Iuele	Director of Technology, Tenaflly High School
Dolores Keezer	New Jersey Department of Education
Florence Klimas	Teacher, Branchburg Central School
Phyllis Klosowski	Rutgers Center for Mathematics, Science, and Computer Education
Paul Lawrence	Mathematics Supervisor, Livingston Public Schools
Dave Marain	Mathematics Staff Developer, Tenaflly Schools
Elizabeth Marquez	Teacher, North Brunswick High School
Nick Mennuti	Science Supervisor, Manalapan High School
Bruce Normandia	Superintendent, Brick School District
Mary Oates	Teacher, Parsippany-Troy Hills Schools
Irwin Ozer	Mathematics Consultant
Marian Palumbo	Mathematics Supervisor, Bernards Township Schools
Henry Petersen	Mathematics Consultant
Barbara Pietruchea	Teacher, Neptune Jr. High School
Mark Pinzur	Mathematics Department Chair, Cherry Hill High School West
Edward Polakowski	Mathematics Department Chair, Manalapan High School
Maureen Quirk	Center for Communications Research
Arlene Riegel	Teacher, Scotch Plains-Fanwood High School
Joseph G. Rosenstein	Department of Mathematics, Rutgers University
Gloria Sanok	Teacher, Wayne Schools
Roberta Schorr	New Jersey Statewide Systemic Initiative
William Smith	Mathematics Supervisor, Haddonfield Memorial High School
Julia Stapleton	New Jersey Department of Education
Carol Stearns	Program Administrator, Princeton University
Edythe Van Dyke	Teacher, Bogata High School
Patricia Wang-Iverson	Research for Better Schools
Linnea Weiland	New Jersey Department of Education
Larry Wiley	New Jersey Department of Education
Joseph Zahn	New Jersey Department of Education

District Leadership Teams (DLTs) and DLT Coordinators

New Jersey Mathematics Curriculum Framework Project

Regional Leadership Team I

Atlantic City DLT	Ray Allen
Mainland Regional DLT (including Linwood, Northfield, Somers Point)	Daniel Kortvelesy
Ocean City DLT (including Sea Isle, Upper Township)	Sherry Bosch
Stone Harbor DLT (including Middle Township, Dennis Township, Avalon)	Lynn Mathis, Ronald Bonner, Tom Cushane

Regional Leadership Team II

Haddonfield DLT	William Smith
Bridgeton DLT	Rita Sherman
Pitman DLT	Barbara Michalsky
Salem City DLT (including Quinton)	Esther Lee
Pittsgrove Township DLT (including Elmer)	Carol Taylor Winkie, Jacqueline Murphy

Regional Leadership Team III

Florence Township DLT	Dana Paykos
Northern Burlington County Regional DLT (including Chesterfield, Mansfield, North Hanover, Springfield)	Ellen Mulligan
Trenton DLT	Mary Mitchell
Metuchen DLT	Joseph Bulman
South Brunswick DLT	Kaye L. Crown

(continued)

District Leadership Teams (DLTs) and DLT Coordinators (continued)

New Jersey Mathematics Curriculum Framework Project

Regional Leadership Team IV

Pinelands Regional DLT (including Eagleswood Township, Little Egg Harbor Township, Tuckerton Elementary, Bass River)	Harry Bublin
Southern Regional DLT (including Stafford Township, Long Beach Island Township)	Deborah Mayeux
Eatontown DLT (including Monmouth Regional)	Diane Bloom
Ocean Township DLT	Leona Worth
Rumson-Fairhaven Regional DLT (including Rumson, Fairhaven)	Louis W. Mitchell

Regional Leadership Team V

Midland Park High School DLT (including Ho-Ho-Kus)	Patricia A. Dunn, Victoria Kniewel
East Orange DLT	Howard Walker, James Leutz
Dover DLT (including Mine Hill)	Gary Meyer
Jefferson Township DLT	Mary Ann Tierney
Sparta Township DLT	Pat Spagnoletti, Karl Mundi

Regional Leadership Team VI

Union City DLT	Silvia Abbato
Washington Township DLT (including West Morris)	Dolly Cinquino
Franklin Township DLT	Jane Taglietta
Bernardsville DLT	Louis Rodriguez
Linden DLT	Walter Tylicki

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Preface	i
Acknowledgements	iii
Acknowledgements from the Preliminary Version	v
Table of Contents	xiii
Introduction to the <i>Framework</i>	1
New Jersey's <i>Mathematics Standards</i>	7
Two Vignettes	7
The Vision	10
Overview of New Jersey's <i>Mathematics Standards</i>	13
New Jersey's <i>Mathematics Standards</i>	15
Implementing New Jersey's <i>Mathematics Standards</i>	16
Summary	19
Descriptive Statements and Cumulative Progress Indicators	21
Nine Vignettes	45
The First Four Standards	69
Problem Solving	70
Communicating Mathematics	74
Mathematical Connections	76
Reasoning	80
The First Four Standards — Grades K-2	83
The First Four Standards — Grades 3-4	92
The First Four Standards — Grades 5-6	101
The First Four Standards — Grades 7-8	112
The First Four Standards — Grades 9-12	123

Standard 5: Tools and Technology **137**

Grades K-12 Overview	137
Grades K-2 Overview	140
Grades K-2 Indicators and Activities	142
Grades 3-4 Overview	145
Grades 3-4 Indicators and Activities	147
Grades 5-6 Overview	150
Grades 5-6 Indicators and Activities	152
Grades 7-8 Overview	157
Grades 7-8 Indicators and Activities	159
Grades 9-12 Overview	165
Grades 9-12 Indicators and Activities	167

Standard 6: Number Sense **173**

Grades K-12 Overview	173
Grades K-2 Overview	176
Grades K-2 Indicators and Activities	178
Grades 3-4 Overview	183
Grades 3-4 Indicators and Activities	185
Grades 5-6 Overview	190
Grades 5-6 Indicators and Activities	192
Grades 7-8 Overview	197
Grades 7-8 Indicators and Activities	199
Grades 9-12 Overview	205
Grades 9-12 Indicators and Activities	206

Standard 7: Geometry and Spatial Sense **209**

Grades K-12 Overview	209
Grades K-2 Overview	213
Grades K-2 Indicators and Activities	215
Grades 3-4 Overview	219
Grades 3-4 Indicators and Activities	221
Grades 5-6 Overview	225
Grades 5-6 Indicators and Activities	227
Grades 7-8 Overview	233
Grades 7-8 Indicators and Activities	235
Grades 9-12 Overview	241
Grades 9-12 Indicators and Activities	243

Standard 8: Numerical Operations **251**

Grades K-12 Overview	251
Grades K-2 Overview	253
Grades K-2 Indicators and Activities	256
Grades 3-4 Overview	261
Grades 3-4 Indicators and Activities	263
Grades 5-6 Overview	268
Grades 5-6 Indicators and Activities	269
Grades 7-8 Overview	273
Grades 7-8 Indicators and Activities	274
Grades 9-12 Overview	277
Grades 9-12 Indicators and Activities	278

Standard 9: Measurement **281**

Grades K-12 Overview	281
Grades K-2 Overview	284
Grades K-2 Indicators and Activities	286
Grades 3-4 Overview	290
Grades 3-4 Indicators and Activities	291
Grades 5-6 Overview	294
Grades 5-6 Indicators and Activities	296
Grades 7-8 Overview	301
Grades 7-8 Indicators and Activities	302
Grades 9-12 Overview	306
Grades 9-12 Indicators and Activities	307

Standard 10: Estimation **309**

Grades K-12 Overview	309
Grades K-2 Overview	311
Grades K-2 Indicators and Activities	312
Grades 3-4 Overview	316
Grades 3-4 Indicators and Activities	317
Grades 5-6 Overview	321
Grades 5-6 Indicators and Activities	322
Grades 7-8 Overview	325
Grades 7-8 Indicators and Activities	326
Grades 9-12 Overview	330
Grades 9-12 Indicators and Activities	331

Standard 11: Patterns and Functions **335**

Grades K-12 Overview	335
Grades K-2 Overview	338
Grades K-2 Indicators and Activities	340
Grades 3-4 Overview	344
Grades 3-4 Indicators and Activities	346
Grades 5-6 Overview	350
Grades 5-6 Indicators and Activities	352
Grades 7-8 Overview	357
Grades 7-8 Indicators and Activities	358
Grades 9-12 Overview	364
Grades 9-12 Indicators and Activities	366

Standard 12: Probability and Statistics **371**

Grades K-12 Overview	371
Grades K-2 Overview	374
Grades K-2 Indicators and Activities	376
Grades 3-4 Overview	380
Grades 3-4 Indicators and Activities	382
Grades 5-6 Overview	386
Grades 5-6 Indicators and Activities	388
Grades 7-8 Overview	392
Grades 7-8 Indicators and Activities	394
Grades 9-12 Overview	398
Grades 9-12 Indicators and Activities	400

Standard 13: Algebra **405**

Grades K-12 Overview	405
Grades K-2 Overview	408
Grades K-2 Indicators and Activities	410
Grades 3-4 Overview	413
Grades 3-4 Indicators and Activities	415
Grades 5-6 Overview	418
Grades 5-6 Indicators and Activities	420
Grades 7-8 Overview	427
Grades 7-8 Indicators and Activities	429
Grades 9-12 Overview	434
Grades 9-12 Indicators and Activities	436

Standard 14: Discrete Mathematics **441**

Grades K-12 Overview	441
Grades K-2 Overview	445
Grades K-2 Indicators and Activities	447
Grades 3-4 Overview	452
Grades 3-4 Indicators and Activities	454
Grades 5-6 Overview	462
Grades 5-6 Indicators and Activities	464
Grades 7-8 Overview	471
Grades 7-8 Indicators and Activities	473
Grades 9-12 Overview	480
Grades 9-12 Indicators and Activities	481

Standard 15: Conceptual Building Blocks of Calculus **487**

Grades K-12 Overview	487
Grades K-2 Overview	490
Grades K-2 Indicators and Activities	491
Grades 3-4 Overview	493
Grades 3-4 Indicators and Activities	494
Grades 5-6 Overview	496
Grades 5-6 Indicators and Activities	498
Grades 7-8 Overview	502
Grades 7-8 Indicators and Activities	504
Grades 9-12 Overview	509
Grades 9-12 Indicators and Activities	511

Standard 16: Excellence and Equity for All Students **517**

Introduction	517
Cumulative Progress Indicators	521
High Expectations in Mathematics for All Students	522
The Important Role of Mathematics	527
Overcoming Barriers to Equity	529
Challenging All Students to Maximize Their Achievement	539
Identifying Equity Concerns in Districts and Schools	544
References	548

Learning Environment Standard 17: Keys to Success in the Classroom	551
Keys to Success	552
Organizing the Classroom	559
Organizing Instruction	563
Organizing The Content	571
References	576
Appendix — Sample Units	578
 Learning Environment Standard 18: Assessment	 593
Overview	593
Alternative Assessment Strategies	595
The Student’s Role in Assessment	606
Educational Purposes of Assessment	610
References	617
 Chapter 19: Implementing a Technology Plan	 619
Technology: A Bridge Between Mathematics Education and Thought	619
Incorporating Technology into an Existing Mathematics Program	622
References	632
Appendix: Sample Inventory Checklist	633
 Chapter 20: Planning for Change	 637
Introduction	637
Stage 1 — Awareness and Exploration	638
Stage 2 — Transition	643
Stage 3 — Emergence of the New Infrastructure	648
Stage 4 — Predominance of the New System	650
Professional Development	652
School Organization	658
Our Roles in Improving Mathematics Education	664

INTRODUCTION TO THE *FRAMEWORK*

Overview

The publication of the *New Jersey Mathematics Curriculum Framework* is the culmination of the New Jersey Mathematics Curriculum Framework Project, a four-year collaborative effort of the New Jersey Mathematics Coalition and the New Jersey Department of Education, which was funded by an Eisenhower grant from the United States Department of Education. This effort is also a component of New Jersey's Statewide Systemic Initiative for Improving Mathematics, Science, and Technology Education.

The purpose of the *New Jersey Mathematics Curriculum Framework* is to provide a guide to individual New Jersey teachers, administrators, and districts that will help them translate a vision of exemplary mathematics education into reality. It is anticipated also that the *New Jersey Mathematics Curriculum Framework* will serve as a model for other states.

The *New Jersey Mathematics Curriculum Framework* intends to help educators base their district's mathematics curriculum on the recommendations of New Jersey's *Mathematics Standards*. It illustrates how each of the standards can be addressed at all grade levels, and provides information and guidance on the major issues that need to be addressed, on the process of systemic change, and on the inter-related areas of content, instruction, and assessment.

Defining *Standards* and *Framework*

We may think of *standards* as expressing our common goals — first in terms of a vision, and then in terms of clear statements (called *standards*) of what we want to accomplish. A useful metaphor is that of a road map, where the goal is simply a common destination. You *do* have to know where you're going before you can figure out how you're going to get there. Moreover, since we are all starting at different places, we will take very different routes to arrive at our common goal.

We may think of a *framework* as an instrument to help us determine which route to use, how to structure our efforts, in order to achieve our goal. A useful metaphor is that of a skeletal structure. The framework is not a completed building. It is, however, the scaffolding that provides initial support, definition, and direction to our efforts to achieve our goal.

New Jersey's *Mathematics Standards* are intended to describe our goals; the *New Jersey Mathematics Curriculum Framework* is intended to help us achieve those goals. It is intended to provide policy-makers, instructional leaders, teachers, and community members with the support, definition, and direction necessary to re-envision and reconstruct mathematics education here in New Jersey and across the United States. The *New Jersey Mathematics Curriculum Framework* is not a finished product — it is not a curriculum; it does however provide the support necessary for educators who wish to generate and implement a new vision of how mathematics can be taught and learned in their schools.

... All Students

The vision that is presented in New Jersey's *Mathematics Standards* and the *New Jersey Mathematics Curriculum Framework* is articulated in high standards which are indeed achievable by all New Jersey

students. All students *need* to achieve these standards if they are to be productive in the 21st century; all students *can* achieve these standards if we create environments in which learning is both possible and expected. There may be exceptions, but these must be exceptional.

At the same time, our attention to “all students” must not diminish our dedication to providing full encouragement and opportunity to explore mathematics in greater breadth and depth to those students who have interest or talent in pursuing careers which require additional mathematical achievement.

Standards and Frameworks in a National Context

This document builds on the *Curriculum and Evaluation Standards for School Mathematics* (1989) and the *Professional Standards for Teachers of Mathematics* (1991), published by the National Council of Teachers of Mathematics.

The 1993 report from the National Governors Association to the National Education Goals Panel entitled *Promises to Keep: Creating High Standards for American Students* recommended and announced the development of national standards documents in seven other content areas. A basic theme of both the Goals 2000 legislation (March 1994) and the Improving America’s Schools Act (October 1994) is the importance of developing high standards of learning for all students. A national consensus has been building around the importance of agreed-upon standards in improving the education of our country’s students.

Standards and Frameworks in a New Jersey Context

A draft version of the *New Jersey Mathematics Standards* was developed by a panel of thirty-one individuals who met extensively during the 1992-1993 school year. Crafted by a broad range of New Jersey elementary, middle school, and secondary teachers, supervisors, administrators, college mathematics educators, mathematicians, and representatives of business and industry, the draft *New Jersey Mathematics Standards* was intended to provide a clear vision of exemplary mathematics learning and to define and then articulate the standards necessary for achieving quality mathematics education.

After the completion of the draft *New Jersey Mathematics Standards*, over 7000 copies of the document were distributed for review across the state. At the same time, efforts began to extend the draft *New Jersey Mathematics Standards* into a mathematics framework. The *Preliminary Version* of the *New Jersey Mathematics Curriculum Framework*, published in January 1995, contained a revised version of the standards which addressed many of the comments of both the reviewers of the draft standards and the drafters of the framework materials. As a result of this process, the standards in the *Preliminary Version* represented a statewide consensus of what mathematics educators believe are high achievable goals for all students.

During 1995, a new working group — the Governors’s Review Panel for the Mathematics Curriculum Standards — built upon these draft standards and, together with similar working groups in other content areas, engaged the public in an extensive review process that resulted in modest modifications of the draft standards in mathematics. This process culminated in the adoption on May 1, 1996 by the New Jersey State Board of Education of the *Core Curriculum Content Standards*, which includes the *Mathematics Standards*, standards in six other content areas, and cross-content workplace readiness standards.

The Organization of the New Jersey Mathematics Curriculum Framework

The *New Jersey Mathematics Curriculum Framework* begins with a chapter entitled *New Jersey’s*

Mathematics Standards. This chapter presents the vision for mathematics education in New Jersey on which the *Mathematics Standards* are based. It presents the standards that articulate that vision, and it enumerates *cumulative progress indicators* that further define and elaborate on those standards. It describes them in terms of student experiences, providing a number of vignettes that both illustrate the vision and clarify the recommendations of the standards. The *Mathematics Standards* include the sixteen *content standards* that were adopted by the New Jersey State Board of Education, and two *learning environment standards* that were developed and approved by the task forces that prepared the *Mathematics Standards* and appear in the New Jersey State Department of Education's *Core Curriculum Content Standards*; however, since they were not considered content standards, they were not presented to the New Jersey State Board of Education for adoption.

The next chapter *The First Four Standards* discusses the processes of problem solving, reasoning, communicating mathematics, and mathematical connections that should underlie all student learning; among the “connections” discussed are the connections between mathematics and science.

Each subsequent standard has its own chapter. Each of these chapters begins with a K-12 overview of the standard, and continues with grade-level discussions for each of the K-2, 3-4, 5-6, 7-8, and 9-12 grade levels; these grade-level discussions include grade-level overviews of the content standard followed by sample activities — about 1500 altogether — for achieving the expectations enumerated in New Jersey's *Mathematics Standards* at those grade levels.

The *New Jersey Mathematics Curriculum Framework* is designed so that a teacher can easily extract information about all content areas for a particular grade level, and so that a teacher or an administrator can easily extract information about a particular content area for all grade levels.

There are two additional “planning” chapters, *Implementing a Technology Plan*, which provides guidance on strengthening a district's technology component, and *Planning for Change*, which focuses on the process of bringing about change. All of the recommendations in the *Mathematics Standards* involve significant changes in how mathematics will be taught and learned. System-wide changes involve decisions and actions at all levels: at the district level, at the school level, at the department level, and in the classroom. This chapter discusses how change takes place, both in general and in the specific contexts of professional development for school personnel and aligning school and district policies with mathematics education reform. *Planning for Change* will help you understand the change process and function as a “change agent.”

Using the *New Jersey Mathematics Curriculum Framework* ...

A long document like this is not written with the expectation that it will be read from cover to cover. However, it is expected that every reader will begin by reviewing this *Introduction* and the chapter *New Jersey's Mathematics Standards* which follows.

Each chapter of the *New Jersey Mathematics Curriculum Framework* can serve as a basis for extended discussions involving teachers and administrators, and readers are encouraged to form groups in their schools and districts for this purpose.

The *New Jersey Mathematics Curriculum Framework* addresses two audiences. First, it speaks to school and district personnel who intend to implement the standards comprehensively and systemically, by bringing about change in all of their classrooms. Second, it addresses teachers who are interested in implementing the standards in their own classrooms. How each of these groups might use this document is discussed in the

next two sections.

... for Systemic Change

For school and district leaders, the first and last chapters of this *Framework*, those dealing with the *Mathematics Standards* and *Planning for Change*, are critical. Chapter 20 provides a model for understanding systemic change, and describes specific processes to follow in order to successfully bring about change. Key to the success of efforts designed to bring about systemic change is enlisting the involvement and support of all those affected by the change.

But what changes should be made? From the outset it must be acknowledged that “implementing the standards” cannot happen overnight, that there is no “magic bullet,” that there is no one action which will transform all of our classrooms, all of our teachers, all of administrators, and all of our students, so that they all manifest the vision. Bringing about change involves a long process, with many inter-related components. Each district must choose specific areas with which to begin its efforts.

We suggest that, in addition to this chapter, you also peruse the various other chapters in the *Framework*, together with your colleagues. Try to reach a tentative agreement on which specific areas in these sections should be the focus of your attention. Those chapters can then be the subject of intensive review and discussion, and subsequently the focus of efforts to improve the mathematics curriculum.

It should be noted, however, that the success of such efforts will depend on whether sufficient attention is devoted to the issues raised in Chapter 20, *Planning for Change*. Thus, for example, decisions about where to focus a school’s attention should involve all those within the school who will be involved in implementing those decisions.

... for Change in the Classroom

Teachers should begin by reviewing the chapter on the *Mathematics Standards*, and should then review the grade-level sections in each of the subsequent chapters. The information in each of these chapters is organized by grade-level. Thus, for example, a 5th grade teacher can easily review the grade-level 5-6 material for all of the content standards; this will include overviews of each of the content standards for this grade level, cumulative progress indicators regarding student performance at this grade level, as well as activities intended to help achieve the expectations for each of the standards. The 5th grade teacher should, however, also review the grade-level 3-4 material — to find out what the student is expected to bring to the 5th grade — and the grade-level 7-8 material — to find out what the student will be expected to do at the next grade level.

Summary

The *New Jersey Mathematics Curriculum Framework* presents a vision and a working guide to help educators create the changes necessary to achieve world-class mathematics programs in all New Jersey classrooms. This *Framework* is intended to serve as a vehicle for change, to generate commitment, and to encourage and facilitate the leadership necessary to transform mathematics education in the state. As the authors of the report *Everybody Counts* concluded: “The challenges are clear. The choices are before us. It is time to act.” So too, we must accept the challenges, recognize the choices, and take action now.

References

- Mathematical Sciences Education Board. *Everybody Counts*. Washington, DC: National Academy Press, 1989.
- National Council of Teachers of Mathematics. *Curriculum and Evaluation Standards for School Mathematics*. Reston, VA, 1989.
- National Council of Teachers of Mathematics. *Professional Standards for Teachers of Mathematics*. Reston, VA, 1991.
- National Education Goals Panel. *Promises to Keep: Creating High Standards for American Students*. Washington, DC, 1993.
- New Jersey Mathematics Coalition. *Preliminary Version of the New Jersey Mathematics Curriculum Framework*, 1995.
- New Jersey State Department of Education. *Core Curriculum Content Standards*. Trenton, NJ, 1996.
- New Jersey State Department of Education. *New Jersey Mathematics Standards*, draft, 1993.

On-Line Resources

http://dimacs.rutgers.edu/nj_math_coalition/framework.html/

The *Framework* will be available at this site during Spring 1997. In time, we hope to post additional resources relating to this standard, such as grade-specific activities submitted by New Jersey teachers, and to provide a forum to discuss the *Mathematics Standards*.